### 7.3.4 Normal Deviates by Transformation (Box-Muller)

Transformation methods generalize to more than one dimension. If  $x_1, x_2, ...$  are random deviates with a *joint* probability distribution  $p(x_1, x_2, ...)dx_1dx_2...$ , and if  $y_1, y_2, ...$  are each functions of all the x's (same number of y's as x's), then the joint probability distribution of the y's is

$$p(y_1, y_2, \ldots) dy_1 dy_2 \ldots = p(x_1, x_2, \ldots) \left| \frac{\partial(x_1, x_2, \ldots)}{\partial(y_1, y_2, \ldots)} \right| dy_1 dy_2 \ldots$$
(7.3.10)

where  $|\partial(-)/\partial(-)|$  is the Jacobian determinant of the *x*'s with respect to the *y*'s (or the reciprocal of the Jacobian determinant of the *y*'s with respect to the *x*'s).

An important historical example of the use of (7.3.10) is the *Box-Muller* method for generating random deviates with a normal (Gaussian) distribution (§6.14.1):

$$p(y)dy = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-y^2/2}dy$$
(7.3.11)

Consider the transformation between two uniform deviates on (0,1),  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and two quantities  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ,

$$y_1 = \sqrt{-2\ln x_1} \cos 2\pi x_2$$
  

$$y_2 = \sqrt{-2\ln x_1} \sin 2\pi x_2$$
(7.3.12)

Equivalently we can write

$$x_{1} = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(y_{1}^{2} + y_{2}^{2})\right]$$

$$x_{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi}\arctan\frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}}$$
(7.3.13)

Now the Jacobian determinant can readily be calculated (try it!):

$$\frac{\partial(x_1, x_2)}{\partial(y_1, y_2)} = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial y_2} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_2} \end{vmatrix} = -\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-y_1^2/2}\right]\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-y_2^2/2}\right]$$
(7.3.14)

Since this is the product of a function of  $y_2$  alone and a function of  $y_1$  alone, we see that each y is independently distributed according to the normal distribution (7.3.11).

One further trick is useful in applying (7.3.12). Suppose that, instead of picking uniform deviates  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  in the unit square, we instead pick  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  as the ordinate and abscissa of a random point inside the unit circle around the origin. Then the sum of their squares,  $R^2 \equiv v_1^2 + v_2^2$ , is a uniform deviate, which can be used for  $x_1$ , while the angle that  $(v_1, v_2)$  defines with respect to the  $v_1$ -axis can serve as the random angle  $2\pi x_2$ . What's the advantage? It's that the cosine and sine in (7.3.12) can now be written as  $v_1/\sqrt{R^2}$  and  $v_2/\sqrt{R^2}$ , obviating the trigonometric function calls! (In the next section we will generalize this trick considerably.)

Code for generating normal deviates by the Box-Muller method follows. Consider it for pedagogical use only, because a significantly faster method for generating normal deviates is coming, below, in §7.3.9.

```
struct Normaldev BM : Ran {
Structure for normal deviates.
    Doub mu,sig;
    Doub storedval;
    Normaldev_BM(Doub mmu, Doub ssig, Ullong i)
     : Ran(i), mu(mmu), sig(ssig), storedval(0.) {}
    Constructor arguments are \mu, \sigma, and a random sequence seed.
    Doub dev() {
    Return a normal deviate.
        Doub v1,v2,rsq,fac;
        if (storedval == 0.) {
                                              We don't have an extra deviate handy, so
            do {
                v1=2.0*doub()-1.0;
                                              pick two uniform numbers in the square ex-
                v2=2.0*doub()-1.0;
                                                  tending from -1 to +1 in each direction,
                rsq=v1*v1+v2*v2;
                                              see if they are in the unit circle,
            } while (rsq >= 1.0 || rsq == 0.0);
                                                             or try again.
            fac=sqrt(-2.0*log(rsq)/rsq); Now make the Box-Muller transformation to
            storedval = v1*fac;
                                                 get two normal deviates. Return one and
            return mu + sig*v2*fac;
                                                  save the other for next time.
        } else {
                                              We have an extra deviate handy,
            fac = storedval;
            storedval = 0.;
            return mu + sig*fac;
                                              so return it.
        7
    }
};
```

# 7.3.5 Rayleigh Deviates

The *Rayleigh distribution* is defined for positive z by

$$p(z)dz = z \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}z^2\right) dz$$
 (z > 0) (7.3.15)

Since the indefinite integral can be done analytically, and the result easily inverted, a simple transformation method from a uniform deviate *x* results:

$$z = \sqrt{-2\ln x}, \quad x \sim U(0,1)$$
 (7.3.16)

A Rayleigh deviate z can also be generated from two normal deviates  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  by

$$z = \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2}, \quad y_1, y_2 \sim N(0, 1)$$
 (7.3.17)

Indeed, the relation between equations (7.3.16) and (7.3.17) is immediately evident in the equation for the Box-Muller method, equation (7.3.12), if we square and sum that method's two normal deviates  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ .

### 7.3.6 Rejection Method

The *rejection method* is a powerful, general technique for generating random deviates whose distribution function p(x)dx (probability of a value occurring between x and x + dx) is known and computable. The rejection method does not require that the cumulative distribution function (indefinite integral of p(x)) be readily computable, much less the inverse of that function — which was required for the transformation method in the previous section.

The rejection method is based on a simple geometrical argument (Figure 7.3.2):

365

deviates.h



Figure 7.3.2. Rejection method for generating a random deviate x from a known probability distribution p(x) that is everywhere less than some other function f(x). The transformation method is first used to generate a random deviate x of the distribution f (compare Figure 7.3.1). A second uniform deviate is used to decide whether to accept or reject that x. If it is rejected, a new deviate of f is found, and so on. The ratio of accepted to rejected points is the ratio of the area under p to the area between p and f.

Draw a graph of the probability distribution p(x) that you wish to generate, so that the area under the curve in any range of x corresponds to the desired probability of generating an x in that range. If we had some way of choosing a random point *in two dimensions*, with uniform probability in the *area* under your curve, then the x value of that random point would have the desired distribution.

Now, on the same graph, draw any other curve f(x) that has finite (not infinite) area and lies everywhere *above* your original probability distribution. (This is always possible, because your original curve encloses only unit area, by definition of probability.) We will call this f(x) the *comparison function*. Imagine now that you have some way of choosing a random point in two dimensions that is uniform in the area under the comparison function. Whenever that point lies outside the area under the original probability distribution, we will *reject* it and choose another random point. Whenever it lies inside the area under the original probability distribution, we will *accept* it.

It should be obvious that the accepted points are uniform in the accepted area, so that their x values have the desired distribution. It should also be obvious that the fraction of points rejected just depends on the ratio of the area of the comparison function to the area of the probability distribution function, not on the details of shape of either function. For example, a comparison function whose area is less than 2 will reject fewer than half the points, even if it approximates the probability function very badly at some values of x, e.g., remains finite in some region where p(x) is zero.

It remains only to suggest how to choose a uniform random point in two dimensions under the comparison function f(x). A variant of the transformation method (§7.3) does nicely: Be sure to have chosen a comparison function whose indefinite integral is known analytically, and is also analytically invertible to give x as a function of "area under the comparison function to the left of x." Now pick a uniform deviate between 0 and A, where A is the total area under f(x), and use it to get a corresponding x. Then pick a uniform deviate between 0 and f(x) as the y value for the two-dimensional point. Finally, accept or reject according to whether it is respectively less than or greater than p(x).

So, to summarize, the rejection method for some given p(x) requires that one find, once and for all, some reasonably good comparison function f(x). Thereafter,

each deviate generated requires two uniform random deviates, one evaluation of f (to get the coordinate y) and one evaluation of p (to decide whether to accept or reject the point x, y). Figure 7.3.1 illustrates the whole process. Then, of course, this process may need to be repeated, on the average, A times before the final deviate is obtained.

### 7.3.7 Cauchy Deviates

The "further trick" described following equation (7.3.14) in the context of the Box-Muller method is now seen to be a rejection method for getting trigonometric functions of a uniformly random angle. If we combine this with the explicit formula, equation (6.14.6), for the inverse cdf of the Cauchy distribution (see §6.14.2), we can generate Cauchy deviates quite efficiently.

```
struct Cauchydev : Ran {
Structure for Cauchy deviates.
    Doub mu,sig;
    Cauchydev(Doub mmu, Doub ssig, Ullong i) : Ran(i), mu(mmu), sig(ssig) {}
    Constructor arguments are \mu, \sigma, and a random sequence seed.
    Doub dev() -
    Return a Cauchy deviate.
        Doub v1,v2;
                                              Find a random point in the unit semicircle.
        do {
            v1=2.0*doub()-1.0;
            v2=doub();
        } while (SQR(v1)+SQR(v2) >= 1. || v2 == 0.);
        return mu + sig*v1/v2;
                                              Ratio of its coordinates is the tangent of a
    }
                                                  random angle.
};
```

# 7.3.8 Ratio-of-Uniforms Method

In finding Cauchy deviates, we took the ratio of two uniform deviates chosen to lie within the unit circle. If we generalize to shapes other than the unit circle, and combine it with the principle of the rejection method, a powerful variant emerges. Kinderman and Monahan [1] showed that deviates of virtually *any* probability distribution p(x) can be generated by the following rather amazing prescription:

- Construct the region in the (u, v) plane bounded by  $0 \le u \le [p(v/u)]^{1/2}$ .
- Choose two deviates, *u* and *v*, that lie uniformly in this region.
- Return v/u as the deviate.

Proof: We can represent the ordinary rejection method by the equation in the (x, p) plane,

$$p(x)dx = \int_{p'=0}^{p'=p(x)} dp'dx$$
(7.3.18)

Since the integrand is 1, we are justified in sampling uniformly in (x, p') as long as p' is within the limits of the integral (that is, 0 < p' < p(x)). Now make the change of variable

$$\frac{v}{u} = x \tag{7.3.19}$$
$$u^2 = p$$

deviates.h