Lecture Notes

Statistics for Data Science

University of Pisa, Italy

Salvatore Ruggieri

March 30, 2022

Contents

1 On Cramér-Rao's bound and MLE

Consider the likelihood and log-likelihood functions:

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_\theta(X_i) \qquad \ell(\theta) = \log L(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log f_\theta(X_i)$$

Since $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are i.i.d., this is also true for $Y_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X_1)$, ..., $Y_n = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X_n)$. The log-likelihood takes its maximum at the zero's of its derivative, which is called the *score function*:

$$S(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i$$

The expectation of each Y_i's is zero (use Leibniz integral rule):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{E}\big[Y_i\big] &= \int (\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(x)) f_\theta(x) dx = \int \frac{1}{f_\theta(x)} (\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} f_\theta(x)) f_\theta(x) dx \\ &= \int \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} f_\theta(x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \int f_\theta(x) dx = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} 1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by linearity of expectation, we have:

$$\mathrm{E}\big[S(\theta)\big] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{E}\big[Y_i\big] = 0$$

The variance of $S(\theta)$ is called the *Fisher information*, and it is the quantity:

$$I(\theta) = \mathrm{E}\big[S(\theta)^2\big]$$

It turns out[1,2] that:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\theta) = \mathrm{E}\big[S(\theta)^2\big] &= \mathrm{E}\big[(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i)(\sum_{j=1}^{n} Y_j)\big] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} Y_i Y_j\big] \\
&= \mathrm{E}\big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2\big] + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{n} \mathrm{E}\big[Y_i\big]\mathrm{E}\big[Y_j\big] \qquad (1) \\
&= \mathrm{E}\big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i^2\big] + 0 \qquad (2) \\
&= \mathrm{E}\big[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X_i))^2\big] \\
&= n\mathrm{E}\big[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X))^2\big] \qquad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

where $X \sim f_\theta$. **Important**: some textbooks define $I(\theta)$ using a single random variable, i.e., as $\mathrm{E}\big[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X))^2\big]$. In such cases, it must be multiplied by n whenever it is used. We can now link Fisher information to the Cramér-Rao inequality from [1, Remark 20.2]:

$$\mathrm{Var}(T) \geq \frac{1}{n\mathrm{E}\big[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X))^2\big]} \qquad \text{for all } \theta,$$

by observing that, due to (3), the right-hand side is the inverse of $I(\theta)$, i.e.:

$$\mathrm{Var}(T) \geq \frac{1}{n\mathrm{E}\big[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \log f_\theta(X))^2\big]} = \frac{1}{I(\theta)} \qquad \text{for all } \theta.$$

Example

The textbook [1, pages 324-325] shows that the unbiased MLE estimator of the mean μ of a normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ is $\bar{X}_n = (X_1 + \ldots + X_n)/n$. Let $X \sim \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma})^2}$. The Fisher information is:

$$\begin{aligned}
I(\theta) &= n\mathrm{E}\big[(\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu} \log f_\mu(X))^2\big] \\
&= n\mathrm{E}\big[(\frac{X-\mu}{\sigma^2})^2\big]
\end{aligned}$$

[1] (1) follows since $\mathrm{E}\Big[Y_i Y_j\Big] = \mathrm{E}\Big[Y_i\Big]\mathrm{E}\Big[Y_j\Big]$ for independent Y_i, Y_j.

[2] (2) follows since $\mathrm{E}\Big[Y_i\Big] = 0$.

$$= \frac{n}{\sigma^4}\mathrm{E}\left[(X-\mu)^2\right]$$
$$= \frac{n}{\sigma^4}\mathrm{Var}(X) = \frac{n}{\sigma^4}\sigma^2 = \frac{n}{\sigma^2} = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Var}(\bar{X}_n)}$$

where the last equality follows because for i.i.d. random variables $\mathrm{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \sigma^2/n$. By taking the reciprocals:

$$\mathrm{Var}(\bar{X}_n) = \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

we have that the lower bound of the Cramér-Rao inequality is reached, hence $\bar{X}_n$ is a MVUE (Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator).

2 Least Square Estimators in Simple Linear Regression

Consider the least square estimators:

$$\hat{\alpha} = \bar{Y}_n - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}_n \qquad \hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)(Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)}{SXX} \tag{4}$$

where $SXX = \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2$. Since $\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n) = 0$,we can rewrite $\hat{\beta}$ as:

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)Y_i - \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)\bar{Y}_n}{SXX} = \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)Y_i}{SXX} \tag{5}$$

2.1 Expectation

$\hat{\beta}$ is an unbiased estimator:

$$\begin{aligned} E[\hat{\beta}] &= \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)E[Y_i]}{SXX} \\ &= \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)(\alpha + \beta x_i)}{SXX} \\ &= \frac{\beta \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)x_i}{SXX} = \beta \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows since $\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)x_i = \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)x_i - \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)\bar{x} = SXX$. See the textbook [1, page 331] for a proof that $\hat{\alpha}$ is also unbiased, and [1, Exercise 22.12] for a different proof for $\hat{\beta}$.

2.2 Variance and Standard Errors of the Coefficients

We calculate:

$$Var(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2 Var(Y_i)}{SXX^2} = \sigma^2 \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)^2}{SXX^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{SXX} \tag{6}$$

and:

$$\begin{aligned} Var(\hat{\alpha}) &= Var(\bar{Y}_n - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}_n) \\ &= Var(\bar{Y}_n) + \bar{x}_n^2 Var(\hat{\beta}) - 2\bar{x}_n Cov(\bar{Y}_n, \hat{\beta}) \\ &= \frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \bar{x}_n^2 \frac{\sigma^2}{SXX} - 0 = \sigma^2\left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}_n^2}{SXX}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The covariance in the formula is zero because (recall that $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ are independent):

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(\bar{Y}_n, \hat{\beta}) &= Cov(\frac{1}{n}\sum_1^n Y_i, \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)Y_i}{SXX}) \\
&= \frac{1}{nSXX} Cov(\sum_1^n Y_i, \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)Y_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{nSXX} \sum_1^n Cov(Y_i, (x_i - \bar{x}_n)Y_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{nSXX} \sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n) Var(Y_i) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \frac{\sum_1^n (x_i - \bar{x}_n)}{SXX} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

The *standard errors* of the coefficient estimators are defined as the estimates of the standard deviations (see (6) and (7)):

$$se(\hat{\alpha}) = \hat{\sigma}\sqrt{(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}_n^2}{SXX})} \qquad se(\hat{\beta}) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{\sqrt{SXX}} \tag{8}$$

where:

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-2}\sum_1^n (y_i - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta}x_i)^2$$

is the estimate of σ^2 (see [1, page 332]).

2.3 Variance and Standard Errors of Fitted Values

For a given value of the explanatory variable, say x_0, the estimator $\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0$ has expectation $E[\hat{Y}] = \alpha + \beta x_0$. Hence, $\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0$ is then the best estimate for the fitted value. We can compute the variance of $\hat{Y}$ as:

$$\begin{aligned}
Var(\hat{Y}) &= Var(\hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta}x_0) \\
&= Var(\hat{\alpha}) + x_0^2 Var(\hat{\beta}) + 2x_0 Cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) \\
&= Var(\hat{\alpha}) + (x_0^2 - 2x_0\bar{x}_n) Var(\hat{\beta}) \\
&= \sigma^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}_n^2}{SXX}) + \frac{(x_0^2 - 2x_0\bar{x}_n)\sigma^2}{SXX} \\
&= \sigma^2(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x}_n - x_0)^2}{SXX})
\end{aligned}$$

because:

$$\begin{aligned}
Cov(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) &= Cov(\bar{Y}_n - \hat{\beta}\bar{x}_n, \hat{\beta}) \\
&= Cov(\bar{Y}_n, \hat{\beta}) - \bar{x}_n Cov(\hat{\beta}, \hat{\beta}) \\
&= -\bar{x}_n Var(\hat{\beta})
\end{aligned}$$

The *standard error* of the fitted value is then the estimate:

$$se(\hat{Y}) = \hat{\sigma}\sqrt{(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x}_n - x_0)^2}{SXX})} \tag{9}$$

3 Confidence Intervals for Simple Linear Regression

In this section, we make the *normality assumption* that $U_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ in the simple linear regression model [1, page 257]:

$$Y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + U_i$$

A fortiori, we have $Y_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\alpha + \beta x_i, \sigma^2)$.

3.1 Confidence Intervals of the Coefficients

By (5), the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is a linear combination of of the Y_i's, hence it has normal distribution as well. By Sections 1.1 and 1.2, it must be that:

$$\hat{\beta} \sim \mathcal{N}(\beta, Var(\hat{\beta}))$$

where the variance $Var(\hat{\beta})$ given in (6) is unknown because σ^2 is unknown. The studentized statistics:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta})}} \sim t(n-2) \tag{10}$$

has a t-student distribution with $n-2$ degrees of freedom ($n-2$ because 2 parameters are already estimated). The proof is this fact can be found in [2, page 45]. Hence:

$$P\left(-t_{n-2,0.025} \leq \frac{\hat{\beta} - \beta}{\sqrt{Var(\hat{\beta})}} \leq t_{n-2,0.025}\right) = 0.95$$

where $t_{n-2,0.025}$ is the critical value of $t(n-2)$ at 0.025. Hence,a 95% confidence interval is:

$$\hat{\beta} \pm t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{\beta})$$

where $se(\hat{\beta})$ is the standard error from (8). By following the same reasoning, we obtain the confidence intervals for α:

$$\hat{\alpha} \pm t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{\alpha})$$

3.2 Confidence Intervals of the Fitted Values

Analogously to the previous subsection, for a fitted value $\hat{y} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_0$, a 95% confidence interval is:

$$\hat{y} \pm t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{Y})$$

where $se(\hat{Y})$ is from (9) In particular, this interval concerns *the expectation of fitted values* at x_0. For example, we could conclude that the mean of predicted values at x_0 is between $\hat{y} + t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{Y})$ and $\hat{y} - t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{Y})$. For a given single prediction, we must also account for the variance of the error term U in:

$$\hat{V} = \hat{\alpha} + \hat{\beta} x_0 + U$$

Let us assume that $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$. By reasoning as in Section 1.3, it can be shown that $Var(\hat{V}) = \sigma^2(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x}_n - x_0)^2}{SXX})$, and then by defining:

$$se(\hat{V}) = \hat{\sigma}\sqrt{(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x}_n - x_0)^2}{SXX})}$$

we have that the prediction interval is:

$$\hat{y} \pm t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{V})$$

In this case, we could conclude that the specific predicted value at x_0 is on between $\hat{y} + t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{V})$ and $\hat{y} - t_{n-2,0.025} se(\hat{V})$.

3.3 Hypothesis Testing

Consider now the two-tailed test of hypothesis:

$$H_0 : \beta = 0 \qquad H_1 : \beta \neq 0$$

The p-value of observing $|\hat{\beta}|$ or a greater value under the null hypothesis, can be calculated from (10) as:

$$p = P(|T| > |t|) = 2 \cdot P(T > \left| \frac{\hat{\beta} - 0}{se(\hat{\beta})} \right|)$$

for $T \sim t(n-2)$. Hence, H_0 can be rejected in favor of H_1 at significance level of 0.05, i.e. $p < 0.05$, if $|t| > t_{n-2,0.025}$. A similar approach applies to the intercept.

4 Statistical Decision Theory

This section will be added later on.

References

[1] F.M. Dekking, C. Kraaikamp, H.P. Lopuhaä, and L.E. Meester. *A Modern Introduction to Probability and Statistics*. Springer, 2005.

[2] M. H. Kutner, C. J. Nachtsheim, J. Neter, and Li W. *Applied Linear Statistical Models*. 5th edition, 2005.