Time Series - Shapelet/Motif Discovery



Motif

Time Series Motif Discovery

- Finding repeated patterns, i.e., pattern mining.
- Are there any repeated patterns, of length *m* in the TS?



Why Find Motifs?

- Mining **association rules** in TS requires the discovery of motifs. These are referred to as primitive shapes and frequent patterns.
- Several **TS classifiers** work by constructing typical prototypes of each class. These prototypes may be considered motifs.
- Many **TS anomaly detection** algorithms consist of modeling normal behavior with a set of typical shapes (which we see as motifs), and detecting future patterns that are dissimilar to all typical shapes.

- The Matrix Profile (MP) is a data structure that annotates a TS and can be exploited for many purposed: e.g. efficient Motif Discovery.
- Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.



m

We can use sliding window of length *m* to extract all subsequences of length *m*.



m

|T|-m+1

We can then compute the pairwise distance among these subsequences.

0	7.6952	7.7399	•••
7.6952	0	7.7106	•••
7.7399	7.7106	0	•••

• For each subsequence we keep only the distance with the closest nearest neighbor. set of corresponding



• The **distance** to the corresponding **nearest neighbor** of each subsequence can be stored in a vector called **matrix profile P**.

time series, T

matrix profile, P



The matrix profile value at location i is the distance between T_i and its nearest neighbor

• The index of corresponding nearest neighbor of each subsequence is also stored in a vector called matrix profile index.



distance between T_i and its nearest neighbor

- The MP index allows to find the nearest neighbor to any subsequence in constant time.
- Note that the pointers in the matrix profile index are not necessarily symmetric.
- If A points to B, then B may or may not point to A
- The classic TS motif: the two smallest values in the MP must have the same value, and their pointers must be mutual.



How to "read" a Matrix Profile

- For relatively low values, you know that the subsequence in the original TS must have (at least one) relatively similar subsequence elsewhere in the data (such regions are "motifs")
- For relatively high values, you know that the subsequence in the original TS must be unique in its shape (such areas are anomalies).



• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.



	inf																		
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

Matrix profile is initialized as inf vector

This is just a toy example, so the values and the vector length does not fit the time series shown above

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.



Ti

	inf																		
--	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

At the first iteration, a subsequence T_i is randomly selected from T

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.



| inf |
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

We compute the distances between T_i and every subsequences from T (time complexity = O(|T|log(|T|))) We then put the distances in a vector based on the position of the subsequences

3	2	0	5	3	4	5	1	2	9	8	4	2	3	4	8	6	2	1
																	1 1	1

The distance between T_i and T_1 (first subsequence) is 3

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

_	_	_	

inf				-	-														
	inf																		

We compute the distances between T_i and every subsequences from T (time complexity = O(|T|log(|T|))) We them put the distances in a vector based on the position of the subsequences

Let say T_i happen to be the third subsequences, therefore the third value in the distance vector is 0

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

m

	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf						
mir	n 🚺						Ma the	itrix p ese tw	rofile o vect	is up tors	dated	by aj	oply e	lemei	ntwise	e mini	mum	to	
	3	2	0	5	3	4	5	1	2	9	8	4	2	3	4	8	6	2	1

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

m

3	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf	inf
miı	n 🚺	Matrix profile is updated by apply elementwise minimum to these two vectors																
3	2	0	5	3	4	5	1	2	9	8	4	2	3	4	8	6	2	1

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.





3	2	inf	5	3	4	5	1	2	9	8	4	2	3	4	8	6	2	1
																	1	1

After we finish to update matrix profile for the first iteration

3	2	0	5	3	4	5	1	2	9	8	4	2	3	4	8	6	2	1
																		1

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

 T_j



3	2	inf	5	3	4	5	1	2	9	8	4	2	3	4	8	6	2	1

In the second iteration, we randomly select another subsequence T_j and it happens to be the 12^{th} subsequences

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

 $\int_{T_j}^{\infty}$

m

3	2	inf	5	3	4	5	1	2	9	8	4	2	3	4	8	6	2	1
																	1	1

Once again, we compute the distance between T_i and every subsequences of T

2	3	1	4	4	3	6	2	1	5	8	0	2	3	5	9	4	2	2
	-				_	_			_					-	-			1

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

Ti



• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

Ti



• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

 T_j



• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

 T_j



• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.



We repeat the two steps (distance computation and update) until we have used every subsequences

• Given a time series, T and a desired subsequence length, m.

m min

There are $|\mathsf{T}|$ subsequences and the distance computation is $O(|\mathsf{T}|\log(|\mathsf{T}|))$

The overall time complexity is $O(|T|^2 \log(|T|))$

Motif Discovery From Matrix Profile



Local minimums are corresponding to motifs

Motif Discovery From Matrix Profile



- It is sometime useful to think of time series subsequences as points in m-dimensional space.
 - In this view, dense regions in the m-dimensional space correspond to regions of the time series that have a low corresponding MP.

500

1000

1500

Shapelet

Time Series Classification

- Given a set X of n time series, X = {x₁, x₂, ..., x_n}, each time series has m ordered values x_i = < x_{t1}, x_{t2}, ..., x_{tm} > and a class value c_i.
- The objective is to find a function *f* that maps from the space of possible time series to the space of possible class values.
- Generally, it is assumed that all the TS have the same length *m*.

Shapelet-based Classification

- Represent a TS as a vector of distances with representative subsequences, namely shapelets.
- 2. Use it as input for machine learning classifiers.





Time Series Shapelets

- Shapelets are TS subsequences which are maximally representative of a class.
- Shapelets can provide interpretable results, which may help domain practitioners better understand their data.
- Shapelets can be significantly more accurate/robust because they are *local features*, whereas most other state-of-the-art TS classifiers consider *global features*.



Finding Shapelets

FindingShapeletBF (dataset D , MAXLEN, MINLEN)				
1	candidates GenerateCandidates(D, MAXLEN, MINLEN)			
2	$bsf_gain \leftarrow 0$			
3	For each S in candidates			
4	$gain \leftarrow CheckCandidate(\mathbf{D}, S)$			
5	If gain > bsf_gain			
6	bsf_gain \leftarrow gain			
7	$bsf_shapelet \leftarrow S$			
8	EndIf			
9	EndFor			
10	Return bsf_shapelet			

Generate Candidate

Sliding a **window of size** *l* across all of the time series objects in the dataset D, extracts all of the possible candidates and adds them to the pool

Gene	GenerateCandidates (dataset D, MAXLEN, MINLEN)				
1	$pool \leftarrow \emptyset$				
2	$l \leftarrow MAXLEN$				
3	While $l \ge MINLEN$				
4	For T in D				
5	$pool \leftarrow pool \cup \mathbf{S}_T^l$				
6	EndFor				
7	$l \leftarrow l - 1$				
8	EndWhile				
9	Return pool				











Check Candidates

CheckCandidate (dataset D , shapelet candidate S)				
1	objects_histogram 🗲 Ø			
2	For each T in D			
3	dist \leftarrow SubsequenceDist(T, S)			
4	insert T into objects_histogram by the key dist			
5	EndFor			
6	Return CalculateInformationGain(objects_histogram)			

Distance with a Subsequence

- Distance from the TS to the subsequence *SubsequenceDist(T, S)* is a distance function that takes time series *T* and subsequence *S* as inputs and returns a nonnegative value *d*, which is the distance from *T* to *S*.
- SubsequenceDist(T, S) = min(Dist(S, S')), for S' $\in S_T^{|S|}$
- where $S_T^{/S/}$ is the set of all possible subsequences of T
- Intuitively, it is the distance between S and its best matching location in T.



Check Candidates

CheckCandidate (dataset D , shapelet candidate S)				
1	objects_histogram 🗲 Ø			
2	For each T in D			
3	dist \leftarrow SubsequenceDist(T, S)			
4	insert T into objects_histogram by the key dist			
5	EndFor			
6	Return CalculateInformationGain(objects_histogram)			

Testing The Utility of a Candidate Shapelet

- Arrange the TSs in the dataset *D* based on the distance from the candidate.
- Find the optimal split point that maximizes the information gain (same as for Decision Tree classifiers)
- Pick the candidate achieving best utility as the shapelet







- A TS dataset D consists of two classes, A and B.
- Given that the proportion of objects in class A is p(A) and the proportion of objects in class B is p(B),
- The **Entropy** of D is: I(D) = -p(A)log(p(A)) p(B)log(p(B)).
- Given a strategy that divides the D into two subsets D₁ and D₂, the information remaining in the dataset after splitting is defined by the weighted average entropy of each subset.
- If the fraction of objects in D_1 is $f(D_1)$ and in D_2 is $f(D_2)$,
- The total entropy of D after splitting is $\hat{I}(D) = f(D_1)I(D_1) + f(D_2)I(D_2)$.

Information Gain



- Given a certain split strategy sp which divides D into two subsets D₁ and D₂, the entropy before and after splitting is I(D) and Î(D).
- The **information gain** for this splitting rule is:
- Gain(sp) = I(D) Î(D) =

•
$$= I(D) - f(D_1)I(D_1) + f(D_2)I(D_2).$$

• We use the distance from *T* to a shapelet *S* as the splitting rule *sp*.

Split point distance from shapelet = 5.1



Problem

• The total number of candidate is

 $\sum_{l=MINLEN}^{MAXLEN} \sum_{T_i \in D} (|T_i| - l + 1)$

- For each candidate you have to compute the distance between this candidate and each training sample (space inefficiency)
- For instance
 - 200 instances with length 275
 - 7,480,200 shapelet candidates

Speedup

- Distance calculations form TSs to shapelet candidates is expensive.
- Reduce the time in two ways
- Distance Early Abandon
 - reduce the distance computation time between two TS
- Admissible Entropy Pruning
 - reduce the number of distance calculations



Distance Early Abandon

- We only need the minimum distance.
- Method
 - Keep the best-so-far distance
 - Abandon the calculation if the partial current distance is larger than best-so-far.
 - We can avoid to compute the full distance for S if the partial one is greater than the best so far



Admissible Entropy Pruning

- We only need the best shapelet for each class
- For a candidate shapelet
 - We do not need to calculate the distance for each training sample
 - After calculating some training samples, the upper bound of information gain (corresponding to the optimistic scenario) < best candidate shapelet
 - Stop calculation
 - Try next candidate



Motif/Shapelet Summary

• A **motif** is a repeated pattern/subsequence in a given TS.

• A **shapelet** is a pattern/subsequence which is maximally representative of a class with respect to a given dataset of TSs.



References

- Matrix Profile I: All Pairs Similarity Joins for Time Series: A Unifying View that Includes Motifs, Discords and Shapelets. Chin-Chia Michael Yeh et al. 1997
- Time Series Shapelets: A New Primitive for Data Mining. Lexiang Ye and Eamonn Keogh. 2016.
- Josif Grabocka, Nicolas Schilling, Martin Wistuba, Lars Schmidt-Thieme (2014): Learning Time-Series Shapelets, in Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, KDD 2014

Matrix Profile I: All Pairs Similarity Joins for Time Series A Unifying View that Includes Motifs, Discords and Shapelets

Chin-Chia Michael Yeh, Yan Zhu, Liudmila Ulanova, Nurjahan Begum, Yifei Ding Hoang Anh Dau, 'Diego Furtado Silva, 'Abdullah Mueen, and Eamonn Keogh University of California, Riverside, 'Universidade de São Paulo, 'University of New Mexico 115. Jalan001, nbegu001, yding007, hdu001) @ucc edu, diegofulva@icmc.usp br, nureen@unm.edu, ean

Advences The of spin-subscripts source for anisotric just) whether has been stressed with the preserve has bandfard other dampers, brokever, superheads, this preserve has bandfard in preserve pathyla stresses that the stresses of the produce for events made tailed at the stress of the preserve pathyla stresses and the stresses of the preserve pathyla stresses, the stresses of the stresse ning and early abandoning) at best produce one It is exact, providing no false positives or false dismiss tude speedup. In this work we introduce thm for time series subsequence all-pair exceptionally large datasets, the algorith It is simple and parameter-free. In contrast, the mo general metric space APSS algorithms require building and tuning spatial access methods and/or hash function approximate solutions in reasonable time. The extality approximate solutions in reasonable time. The exa-milarity join algorithm computes the answer to the *investri* oilf and *time series dircord* problem as a tide-effect, and or sporithm incidentally provides the fastest known algorithm for th these extensively-tutking problems. We demonstrate to illity of our ideas for many time series data mining problem Our algorithm requires an inconsequential space overhead t O(n) with a small constant factor. While our exact algorithm is extremely scalable, t • While our exact algorithm is extremely scalable, for extremely large distancts we can compute the results in an anytime fishion, allowing ultra-fast approximate solutions. Having computed the similarity join for a dataset, we can incrementally update it very efficiently. In many domains this means we can effectively maintime exact joins on the similar of the second second second second second or method provided and did which we will show; in a new interposible tack in this domain. Our algorithm is eucharsisming the applicable, both on the similarity of the second second second second our algorithm is eucharsisming wantlefacible. Second on the second second second second second second second our algorithm is eucharsisming wantlefacible. Second on the second ncluding motif discovery, novelty discovery, shapelet discovery mantic segmentation, density estimation, and contrast set ining.

Ferwards_Time Series: Similarity Joins: Matif Discours I INTRODUCTION

e all-pairs-similarity-search (also known as similari (i) problem comes in several variants. The basic task is this: on a collection of data objects, retrieve the nearest neighbor each object. In the text domain the algorithm has ions in a host of problems, including co tection, collaborative filtering ement [1]. While virtually all test and query refinement [1]. While virtually all tex algorithms have analogues in time series data rere has been surprisingly little progress on Tims iequences All-Pairs-Similarity-Search (TSAPSS).

We believe that this lack of progress stems not from a lack interest in this useful primitive, but from the daunting nature i interest in this userial primitive, but from the datating nature i the problem. Consider the following example that reflects the reds of an industrial collaborator. A boiler at a chemica ire once a minute. After a year, we have ne series of length 525,600. A plant manager may wish to do similarity self-join on this data with week-long subsequences many set-point on an base when receiving sub-spectres sub-points of the set of the set of the set of the set of the distillate vs. heavy distillate etc.) The obvious nested loop ithm requires 132.880.692.960 Euclidean distance putations. If we assume each one takes 0.0001 seconds, the join will take 153.8 days. The core contribution of this ten the join will take 153.0 usys. The core con-vork is to show that we can reduce this time to 6.3 hours, usin in off-the-shelf desktop computer. Moreover, we show that th oin can be computed and/or updated incrementally. Thus ν tain this join essentially forever on a standard

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering University of California, Riverside, CA 92521 lexiangy@cs.ucr.edu ABSTRACT Because we are defining and solving a new problem, we will ta some time to consider a detailed motivating example. Figure Classification of time series has been attracting great interest over the past decade. Recent empirical evidence has strongly suggested that the simple nearest neighbor algorithm is very difficult to beat for most time series problems. While this may be considered good shows some examples of leaves from two classes, Urtica dioic (stinging nettles) and Verbesa wricifolia. These two plants as commonly confused, hence the colloquial name "false nett Verbona articifolia.

for most time series proteins. While this may be considered goo news, given the simplicity of implementing the nearest neighbo algorithm, there are some negative consequences of this. First, th nearest neighbor algorithm requires storing and searching the ire dataset, resulting in a time and space complexity that limi applicability, especially on resource-limited sensors. Secon

and significantly faster than state-of-the-art classifier

Categories and Subject Descriptors

1. INTRODUCTION While the last decode has seen a lunge interest in time series classification, to date the most accurate and robust methods is the simple enserts an eighbor algorithm [41][21][4]. While the uncrea-neighbor algorithm has the advantages of simplicity and nor requiring extensive parameter training, it does have several important disadvantages. Chief among these are its space and time

ments, and the fact that it does not tell us anything about y a particular object was assigned to a particular class

General Terms Algorithms, Experime

1. INTRODUCTION

Lexiang Ye

Our algorithm is embarrassingly parallelizable, both on multicore reconstructs and in distributed systems

In this work we introduce a new time series primitive, *th* shopelets, which addresses these limitations. Informally, are time series subsequences which are in some sense m representative of a class. As we shall show with e empirical evaluations in diverse domains, algorithms base

Time Series Shapelets: A New Primitive for Data Mining

Figure 1: Samples of leaves from two species. Note that sever leaves have the insect-bite damage appose we wish to build a classifier to disti-lants: what features should we use? Since the in ime series shapelet primitives can be interpretable, more accur plants; what features should we use? Since the intra-variability o color and size within each class completely dwarfs the inte H 2.8 [Database Management]: Database Applications - Dat

color and size within each class completely dwarfs the intra-vinsibility between classes, our best hope is based on the shapes of the larces. However, as we can see in Figure 1, the differences in the global shape are very worked. Furthermore, it is very common for leves to have distortions or "occlusion" due to insect damage, and these are lakely to confine any global measures of shape. Instead we attempt the following. We first convert each left into a one-dimensional representation as shown

Eamonn Keogh

Dept. of Computer Science & Engineering University of California, Riverside, CA 92521 eamonn@cs.ucr.edu



Figure 2: A shape can be converted into a series" representation. The reason for the hi time series will be made apparent shortly In this work we present a novel time series data mining primitive called *inve zoriar* aloppedra. Informally, shapelets are time series subsequences which are in some sense maximally representative of a class. While we believe shapelets can have many uses in data

sais [a], However, neve we min and some and sensitive with either the (rotation invariant) Euclidean a ynamic Time Warping (DTW) distance does not sig inperform random guessing. The reason for erformance of these otherwise very competitive classif

ning, one obvious implication of them is to mitigate the two raknesses of the nearest neighbor algorithm noted above. ission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work fo personal or classroom use is granted without the provided that cops on made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and copies bear this notice and the fall citation on the first page. To sharenine, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to requires pine's specific permission and/or a fee. quires prior specific permission association. DD'09, June 29–July 1, 2009, Paris, France cata 2009 Δ/2M 978-1-60558-495-9/09/06...\$5.07

years [8]. However, here we find that using a nearest neigh

to be due to the fact that the data is somewhat noisy (i.e. inse-botes, and different stem lengths), and this noise is enough t swamp the subtle differences in the shapes.