

Problem set for the Algoritmica 2 class (2016/7)

Roberto Grossi
Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Pisa
`grossi@di.unipi.it`

November 2, 2016

Abstract

This is the problem set assigned during class. What is relevant during the resolution of the problems is the reasoning path that leads to their solutions, thus offering the opportunity to learn from mistakes. This is why they are discussed by students in groups, one class per week, under the supervision of the teacher to guide the brainstorming process behind the solutions. The *wrong* way to use this problem set: accumulate the problems and start solving them alone, a couple of weeks before the exam. The correct way: solve them each week in groups, discussing them with classmates and teacher.

1. [Range updates] Consider an array C of n integers, initially all equal to zero. We want to support the following operations:
 - `update`(i, j, c), where $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$ and c is an integer: it changes C such that $C[k] := C[k] + c$ for every $i \leq k \leq j$.
 - `query`(i), where $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$: it returns the value of $C[i]$.
 - `sum`(i, j), where $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n - 1$: it returns $\sum_{k=i}^j C[k]$.

Design a data structure that uses $O(n)$ space, takes $O(n \log n)$ construction time, and implements each operation above in $O(\log n)$ time. Note that `query`(i) = `sum`(i, i) but it helps to reason.

[Hint: For the general case, use the segment tree seen in class, which uses $O(n \log n)$ space: prove that its space is actually $O(n)$ when it is employed for this problem.]

[Hint to further save space in practice when the only changes are `update`(i, i, c): use an implicit tree such as the Fenwick tree (see wikipedia).]

2. [Depth of a node in a random search tree] A random search tree for a set S can be defined as follows: if S is empty, then the null tree is a random search tree; otherwise, choose uniformly at random a key $k \in S$: the random search tree is obtained by picking k as root, and the random search trees on $L = \{x \in S : x < k\}$ and $R = \{x \in S :$

$x > k$ become, respectively, the left and right subtree of the root k . Consider the randomized QuickSort discussed in class and analyzed with indicator variables [CLRS 7.3], and observe that the random selection of the pivots follows the above process, thus producing a random search tree of n nodes. Using a variation of the analysis with indicator variables, prove that the expected depth of a node (i.e. the random variable representing the distance of the node from the root) is nearly $2 \ln n$. Prove that the expected size of its subtree is nearly $2 \ln n$ too, observing that it is a simple variation of the previous analysis.

Prove that the probability that the expected depth of a node exceeds $c 2 \ln n$ is small for any given constant $c > 1$. [Note: the latter point can be solved after we see Chernoff's bounds.]

3. [Karp-Rabin fingerprinting on strings] Given a string $S \equiv S[0 \dots n - 1]$, and two positions $0 \leq i < j \leq n - 1$, the longest common extension $\text{lce}_S(i, j)$ is the length of the maximal run of matching characters from those positions, namely: if $S[i] \neq S[j]$ then $\text{lce}_S(i, j) = 0$; otherwise, $\text{lce}_S(i, j) = \max\{\ell \geq 1 : S[i \dots i + \ell - 1] = S[j \dots j + \ell - 1]\}$. For example, if $S = \text{abracadabra}$, then $\text{lce}_S(1, 2) = 0$, $\text{lce}_S(0, 3) = 1$, and $\text{lce}_S(0, 7) = 4$. Given S in advance for preprocessing, build a data structure for S based on the Karp-Rabin fingerprinting, in $O(n \log n)$ time, so that it supports subsequent online queries of the following two types:

- $\text{lce}_S(i, j)$: it computes the longest common extension at positions i and j in $O(\log n)$ time.
- $\text{equal}_S(i, j, \ell)$: it checks if $S[i \dots i + \ell - 1] = S[j \dots j + \ell - 1]$ in constant time.

Analyze the cost and the error probability. The space occupied by the data structure can be $O(n \log n)$ but it is possible to use $O(n)$ space. [Note: in this exercise, a one-time preprocessing is performed, and then many online queries are to be answered on the fly.]

4. [Hashing sets] Your company has a database $S \subseteq U$ of keys. For this database, it uses a hash function h uniformly chosen at random from a universal family \mathcal{H} (as seen in class); it also keeps a bit vector B_S of m entries, initialized to zeroes, which are then set $B_S[h(k)] = 1$ for every $k \in S$ (note that collisions may happen). Unfortunately, the database S has been lost, thus only B_S and h are known, and the rest is no more accessible. Now, given $k \in U$, how can you establish if k was in S or not? What is the probability of error? [Note: you are not choosing k and S randomly as they are both given... randomization here is in the choice of $h \in \mathcal{H}$ performed when building B_S .]

Under the hypothesis that $m \geq c|S|$ for some $c > 1$, find the expected number of 1s in B_S under a uniform choice at random of $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

5. [Family of uniform hash functions] The notion of pairwise independence says that, for any $x_1 \neq x_2$ and $c_1, c_2 \in Z_p$, we have that

$$\Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[h(x_1) = c_1 \wedge h(x_2) = c_2] = \Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[h(x_1) = c_1] \times \Pr_{h \in \mathcal{H}}[h(x_2) = c_2]$$

In other words, the joint probability is the product of the two individual probabilities. Show that the family of hash functions $\mathcal{H} = \{h_{ab}(x) = ((ax + b) \bmod p) \bmod m : a \in Z_p^*, b \in Z_p\}$ (seen in class) is “pairwise independent”, where p is a sufficiently large prime number ($m + 1 \leq p \leq 2m$).

6. [Deterministic data streaming] Consider a stream of n items, where items can appear more than once in the stream. The problem is to find the most frequently appearing item in the stream (where ties broken arbitrarily if more than one item satisfies the latter). Suppose that only k items can be stored, one item per memory cell, where the available storage is $k + O(1)$ memory cells. Show that the problem cannot be solved deterministically under the following rules: the algorithm can allocate only $O(\text{polylog}(n))$ bits for each of the k items that it can store (i.e. $O(\log^c n)$ for any fixed constant $c > 0$); also it can read the next item of the stream, one at a time. You, the adversary, have access to all the stream, and the content of the k items stored by the algorithm, and can decide what is the next item that the algorithm reads (please note that you cannot change the past, namely, the items already read by the algorithm but you can change the future, namely, the items that are not yet read). [Hint: it is an adversarial argument based on the k items chosen by the hypothetical deterministic streaming algorithm, and the fact that there can be a tie on $> k$ items till the last minute.]
7. [Special case of most frequent item in a stream] Suppose to have a stream of n items, so that one of them occurs $> n/2$ times in the stream. Also, the main memory is limited to keeping just *two* items and their counters, plus the knowledge of the value of n beforehand. Show how to find deterministically the most frequent item in this scenario. [Hint: since the problem cannot be solved deterministically if the most frequent item occurs $\leq n/2$ times, the fact that the frequency is $> n/2$ should be exploited.]
8. [Count-min sketch: extension to negative counters] Check the analysis seen in class, and discuss how to allow $F[i]$ to change by arbitrary values read in the stream. Namely, the stream is a sequence of pairs of elements, where the first element indicates the item i whose counter is to be changed, and the second element is the amount v of that change (v can vary in each pair). In this way, the operation on the counter becomes $F[i] = F[i] + v$, where the increment and decrement can be now seen as $(i, 1)$ and $(i, -1)$.
9. [Count-min sketch: range queries] Show and analyze the application of count-min sketch to range queries (i, j) for computing $\sum_{k=i}^j F[k]$. Hint: reduce the latter query

to the estimate of just $t \leq 2 \log n$ counters c_1, c_2, \dots, c_t . Note that in order to obtain a probability at most δ of error (i.e. that $\sum_{l=1}^t c_l > \sum_{k=i}^j F[k] + 2\epsilon \log n ||F||$), it does not suffice to say that it is at most δ the probability of error of each counter c_l : while each counter is still the actual wanted value plus the residual as before, it is better to consider the sum V of these t wanted values and the sum X of these residuals, and apply Markov's inequality to V and X rather than on the individual counters.