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## 1 Bloom Filters, Cuckoo Hashing, and Succinct Rank Data Structure

These notes are based on [3, 4]. Consider a set $S$ of $n$ keys chosen from a universe $U$.
(1) For a given ( 1 -side) error probability $0<f<1$, we learned that Bloom filters achieve probability $f$ using $k \approx(m / n) \ln 2$ hash functions that map $U \rightarrow[m]$. They take $O(k)$ time, and use nearly $(\log (1 / f) / \ln 2) n \approx 1.44 \log (1 / f) n$ bits of space.
(2) We learned that Cuckoo hashing, using two hash functions $h_{1}, h_{2}: U \rightarrow[m]$, achieves worst-case constant-time lookup, by checking at most two positions indicated by these hash functions.
(3) Today, we look at a succinct Rank data structure $R$, which takes as input a bitvector $B$ of $m$ bits, where $n$ of them are 1 s . The constant-time supported operation is $\operatorname{rank}_{B}(j)$ which returns the number of 1 s in the first $j$ bits of $B$. Space is $\left\lceil\log \binom{m}{n}\right\rceil+o(m)$ bits for the entire structures (no need to store $B$ explicitly ${ }^{1}$ ), where $\left\lceil\log \binom{m}{n}\right\rceil$ is the informationtheoretic lower bound for storing a binary string of length $m$ with $n$ 1s (equivalently, a set of $n$ elements from a universe size $m$ ) $[2,1]$.

We show that using the data structures (2) and (3) we can improve the bounds of Bloom filters in 1 ) when $S$ is static (i.e. $S$ does not change over time) and $\log (1 / f)$ is a power of two.

Specifically, we see how to obtain a 1 -side error probability $f$ for lookup/membership using nearly $\log (3 / f) n$ bits: as $\log (3 / f) \approx 1.58+\log (1 / f)$, we have an additive constant instead of a multiplicative in the space bound for (1), which is much better (e.g. try with $f=10^{-6}$. Moreover we use just three hash functions and lookup takes constant time.

Fingerprints. The first idea is to choose, randomly and uniformly, a hash function $h \in \mathcal{H}$ from a universal hash family $\mathcal{H}$ (as the one seen in class), where $h: U \rightarrow[1 / f]$.

We thus define $S^{\prime}=\{h(x) \mid x \in S\}$, where $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq|S|=n$. When we want to test, given any $y \in U$, whether $y \in S$, we lookup $h(y) \in S^{\prime}$. What is the lookup error? If $y \notin S$ but $h(y) \in S^{\prime}$, we have that there exists $x \in S$ such that $h(y)=h(x)$. And we saw that the latter collision probability is one over the range of the hash function, namley, $\operatorname{Pr}_{h \in \mathcal{H}}\{h(y)=h(x)\}=$ $1 /(1 / f)=f$. Same as the Bloom filter in (1), good.

Given $x \in S$, note that $h(x)$ uses $\log (1 / f)$ bits and is called its signature. The elements of $S^{\prime}$ require $\log (1 / f) n$ bits in total: we only store $S^{\prime}$, not $S$ to save space as each key in $S$ could be very large (same motivation as Bloom filters.

In the following, we want to store $S^{\prime}$ in little additional space and access in constant-time.

[^0]Cuckoo hashing. Cuckoo hashing uses two randomly and independently chosen hash functions $h_{1}, h_{2} \in \mathcal{H}$, where $h_{1}, h_{2}:[1 / f] \rightarrow[m]$ and $m=3\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq 3 n .{ }^{2}$ Lookup to check whether $y^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ takes constant time as it probes locations $h_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ and $h_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ in a table $T$ of $m$ entries.

Are we happy? Given any $y \in U$, we check whether $y \in S$ by computing its fingerprint $y^{\prime}=h(y)$ and checking whether $y^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ in constant time, with 1 -side error probability $f$.

But what about the space? Since $T$ uses $m \leq 3 n$ entries, each capable of storing $\log (1 / f)$ bits, we use a total of $3 \log (1 / f) n$ bits, more than twice those required by the Bloom filters in (1)!

We observe that we waste space for at least $2 n$ empty entries of $T$. To put a remedy on that we proceed as follows.

- We mark with a 1 which positions in $T$ contains a nonempty entry, and 0 othwerise. This yields a bitvector $B$ of $m$ bits, where $\left|S^{\prime}\right| \leq n$ of them are 1s. In the following, let us assume $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=n$ wlog. Recall that $m=3 n$.
- We pack the $n$ nonempty entries of $T$ into an array $P$ of $n$ entries. Note that $P$ stores a permuation of the elements in $S^{\prime}$, and thus takes $\log (1 / f) n$ bits.

We observe that the nonempty entries in $T$ in left-to-right order are in 1-to- 1 correspondence with the 1s in $B$ and the elements in $P$, both in left-to-right order. Thus the $i$ th nonempty entry in $T$ corresponds to the $i$ th 1 in $B$ and the $i$ th element in $P$.

Now, in order to check whether $y^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ in constant time using cuckoo hashing, we need to check whether $T\left[h_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]=y^{\prime}$ or $T\left[h_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]=y^{\prime}$. Since we do not want to use $T$ anymore, we equivalently perform the following test.

1. If $B\left[h_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]=B\left[h_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]=0$, then $y^{\prime} \notin S^{\prime}$ (and thus $y \notin S$, with no error).
2. Otherwise, let $B\left[h_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]=1$, wlog. If $B\left[h_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is the $i$ th bit 1 in $B$, we test whether $P[i]=y^{\prime}$. Same test when $B\left[h_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]=1$.

Note that the missing piece in the puzzle is how to test if $B\left[h_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right]=1$ is the $i$ th bit 1 in $B$. Letting $j=h_{1}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$, this requires to check whether $B[j]=1$ (easy), and there are $i$ s in the first $j$ bits of $B$. For the latter, we need to introduce and use the Rank succinct data structure in (3).

Rank data structure. The input is a bitvector $B$ of $m$ bits, where $n$ of them are 1 s . We want to replace $B$ with a succinct Rank data structure $R$ that answser constant-time $\operatorname{rank}_{B}(\cdot)$ queries. Recall that $\operatorname{rank}_{B}(j)$ returns the number of 1 s in the first $j$ bits of $B$. Note that $B[j]=1$ iff $\operatorname{rank}_{B}(j) \neq \operatorname{rank}_{B}(j-1)$, so it is enough to store $R$ in place of $B$.

The best implementations of $R$ use $\left\lceil\log \binom{m}{n}\right\rceil+o(m)$ bits. Thus we can replace $T$ in cuckoo hashing with $P$ and $R$. Hence, we can simulate Bloom filters with our claimed bounds, storing three hash functions $h, h_{1}, h_{2}$, which take $O(\log (1 / f)+\log n)$ bits, plus $P$, which takes $\log (1 / f) n$ bits, plus $R$, which takes $\left\lceil\log \binom{m}{n}\right\rceil+o(m) \approx n \log (m / n)+o(m)=n \log 3+0(n)$ bits as $m=3 n$. Overall this is $\log (3 / f) n+o(n)$ bits as claimed.

In the class, we described a less space-efficient implementation of $R$ for illustrative purposes. It uses $3 m+o(m)$ bits, but it gives an idea on how $R$ works.

Let $\ell=(1 / 2) \log m$. We build, using the so-called Four-Russians trick, a two dimensional table $L$ of $2^{\ell} \times \ell=O(\sqrt{m} \log m)$ entries. Entry $L\left[\alpha, j^{\prime \prime}\right]$ returns the number of 1 s contained in the first $j^{\prime \prime}$ bits of binary string $\alpha$. We build $L$ by brute force, generating all binary strings $\alpha$ of length $\ell$, and scanning each of them for each $j^{\prime \prime}$. Since there are $2^{\ell}=O(\sqrt{m})$ such strings $\alpha$,

[^1]we take $O(\sqrt{m} \operatorname{polylog}(m))=o(m)$ time to build it. Moreover, since each entry of $L$ uses $O(\log \log m)$ bits, the space occupied by $L$ is $O(\sqrt{m} \operatorname{polylog}(m))=o(m)$ bits. Clearly, $L$ can be queried in constant time.

Now, consider $B$ and partition it into chunks of $\ell$ bits each. Each chunk is a string $\alpha$, so we can use $L$ to compute in constant time how many 1 s are found in the first $j^{\prime \prime}$ bits of $\alpha$. Because of that, we can conceputally see $B$ as an array $B^{\prime}$ of $m / \ell$ chunks. We store an array $C$, so that $C[t]$ explicitly contains an integer that tells how many 1 s are found in the the first $t-1$ chunks of $B^{\prime}$. Array $C$ uses $m / \ell \cdot \log m=2 m$ bits. Hence, $L, B$, and $C$ occupy a total of $3 m+o(m)$ bits to implement $R$.

In order to answer $\operatorname{rank}_{B}(j)$, let us take the chunk of $B$ within which $j$ falls. It corresponds to $\alpha=B^{\prime}\left[j^{\prime}\right]$, where $j^{\prime}=\lfloor j / \ell\rfloor$. Observe that the $j$ th bit in $B$ is the $j^{\prime \prime}$ th bit in $\alpha$ where $j^{\prime \prime}=1+j \bmod \ell$. Thus we return $C\left[j^{\prime}\right]+L\left[\alpha, j^{\prime \prime}\right]$ as the value of $\operatorname{rank}_{B}(j)$, in constant time.

Lower bound. TO BE DONE
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We observe that $\log \binom{m}{n} \leq m$, thus $R$ is always preferred instead of storing $B$ explicitly.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ In class we saw that $m>2 c n$ for any constant $c>2$, but the choice $m=3 n$ works fine as we saw.

